

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/605,544	06/29/2000	Colin S. Cole	3797.86783	8016
28319 75	590 01/29/2004		EXAM	INER
	WITCOFF LTD., FOR MICROSOFT	CHOUDHARY, ANITA		
1001 G STREE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ELEVENTH S	TREET		2153	13
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001-4597		DATE MAILED: 01/29/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/605,544	COLE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Anita Choudhary	2153			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply openiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period oure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 N	ovember 2003.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
-	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)🛛	)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 <i>June 2000</i></u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:		)-(d) or (f).			
* (	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prioring application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ol>	s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage			
13)⊠ <i>A</i> s 3	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti- ince a specific reference was included in the firs in CFR 1.78.	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(est sentence of the specification or	e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet.			
14) 🗌 A	<ul> <li>The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestice eference was included in the first sentence of the</li> </ul>	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachmen	nt(s)					
1)  Notice 2)  Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 11, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In referring to claims 1, 6-10, 16, 17, 19, 20, and 22, Applicant's argues that the reference shown by Hughes (US 6,122,372) does not teach or suggest "creating" an object. The Office disagrees with this assertion. The mere limitation of "creating" a message object is not a novel concept. Hughes may not use the word "creating" a message object, however Hughes takes substantial steps for carrying out a means for creating a message object from an incoming encapsulated message. Hughes teaches parsing, accepting, interpreting, and acknowledging an incoming encapsulated message (col. 6 lines 1-5). In carrying out these steps a message object is "created" that can be understood and acted upon by the receiving station. The "creating of an object (transaction message fig. 4b, 4c) from the data file (encapsulated message, fig. 2) with a plug-in object (template, protocol, and contract) corresponding to a predetermined schema (template CNS ID)" is clearly in the scope of invention shown by Hughes. For example, raw message converter 408, col. 12 lines 61- col. 13 line 7. Hughes teaches the limitation required by claim 1.

In referring to claims, 12-15, Applicant argues that the reference shown by Lection et al. (US 6,446,110) does not teach both "a data field containing data file" and "a data field containing manifest information." The Office disagrees with this assertion. Lection shows data fields characterized by data elements for screen information and session information (<session>

. Application/Control Number: 09/605,544

Art Unit: 2153

</session>, <screen> </screen>, col. 9 lines 15-17). Each data element, session and screen fields, contains a data file (XML data) in accordance to a schema (see fig. 13A-13C). A data field (screen and session element) contains manifest information (sub-elements: idtype, description, host port, size, content, interaction, and display, see fig. 13A) corresponding to information in the data file data field (screen and session elements). The sub-elements, listed above, function to name and describe an image for screen presentation. As Applicant had earlier defined, "manifest information" may include description of a document (see Paper 7, page 13).
Lection teaches the limitation required of claim 12.

The previous rejection has been repeated below and should be seen in light of the Response to Applicants' Arguments shown above.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 6-10, 16, 17, 19, 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,122,372 to Hughes (hereinafter "Hughes").

In considering claim 1, Hughes discloses a method for exchanging data between a source location and a destination location (column 5, lines 39-41) comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/605,544

Art Unit: 2153

generating a data file with a markup language in accordance with a predetermined schema (column 8, lines 35-39);

generating a first software envelope containing the data file (column 6, lines 6-14); transmitting the data file software envelope to the destination location (column 5, lines 64-67 – column 6, lines 1-5); and

creating an object from the data file with a plug-in object corresponding to the predetermined schema (column 9, lines 25-32).

In considering claim 6, 19, and 22, Hughes further discloses wherein the markup language comprises standard generalized markup language (SGML) (column 8, lines 35-39).

In considering claim 7, Hughes further discloses wherein the step of transmitting comprises transmitting the software envelope via electronic mail (column 8, lines 43-44).

In considering claim 8, Hughes further discloses wherein the step of transmitting comprises transmitting the software envelope via HTTP (column 8, lines 43-45; Note that it is inherent that HTML is sent via HTTP).

In considering claim 9, Hughes further discloses wherein the step of transmitting comprises transmitting the software envelope via an intermediate server (column 5, lines 48-52).

In considering claim 10, Hughes further discloses a computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing the steps recited in claim 1 (Note that it is inherent that in order to perform the method steps there must be a computer-readable medium with computer-executable instructions.).

In considering claim 16, Hughes discloses a method for creating data at a source location to transmit to a destination location (column 5, lines 39-41), comprising the steps of:

. Application/Control Number: 09/605,544

Art Unit: 2153

generating a data file with a markup language in accordance with a predetermined schema (column 8, lines 35-39);

generating a software envelope containing the data file (column 6, lines 6-14); identifying a plug-in object that creates an object from the data file (column 9, lines 25-32); and

transmitting the software envelope to the destination location (column 5, lines 64-67 – column 6, lines 1-5).

In considering claim 17, Hughes further discloses wherein generating a software envelope containing the data file (column 6, lines 6-14) and the plug-in object (column 9, lines 25-32).

In considering claim 20, Hughes discloses a method for extracting data from a file transmitted from a source location, comprising the steps of:

receiving a software envelope containing a data file marked up with a markup language in accordance with a predetermined schema (column 5, lines 64-67 – column 6, lines 1-5); and creating an object from the data file with a plug-in object corresponding to the predetermined schema (column 9, lines 25-32).

3. Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,446,110 to Lection et al. (hereinafter "Lection").

In considering claim 12, Lection discloses a computer-readable medium having stored thereon a data structure comprising:

a data field containing address information (see column 9, line 19 ("host port number"));

Application/Control Number: 09/605,544 Page 6

Art Unit: 2153

a data field containing the identification of a predetermined schema (see column 9, lines 4-6);

a data field containing a data file formatted with a markup language in accordance with the schema (see column 9, lines 7-9); and

a data field containing manifest information corresponding to the information contained in the data field (see column 9, lines 7-9 and 22-30).

In considering claim 13, Lection et al. further discloses a data field containing state information (see column 9, lines 16-18).

In considering claim 14, Lection et al. further discloses wherein the state information contains address information (see column 9, line 19 ("host port number")).

In considering claim 15, Lection et al. further discloses wherein the address information contains an address for replying to a message (see Fig. 4; Note that the double arrows show that the datastreams are going in both directions between the source and destination and therefore the address information must contain an address for replying to the datastream message in order for it to be transmitted back to the host.).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5, 18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hughes in view of Lection.

Application/Control Number: 09/605,544 Page 7

Art Unit: 2153

In considering claims 5, 18 and 21 Hughes fails to disclose wherein the markup language comprises extensible markup language (XML). Nonetheless this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Hughes, as evidenced by Lection. Lection discloses that the markup language of the data file comprises extensible markup language (column 6, lines 34-35). A person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the system disclosed by Hughes by incorporating this well known feature, such as disclosed by Lection, in order to allow for greater flexibility in organizing and presenting information in the data file.

6. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hughes in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,507,856 to Chen et al (hereinafter "Chen").

In considering claim 2, Hughes fails to disclose automatically generating a second software envelope from the information contained in the first software envelope. Nonetheless, this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Hughes, as evidenced by Chen. In an analogous art Chen discloses a system for exchanging messages over a network including automatically generating a second software envelope from the information contained in the first software envelope (column 3, lines 50-60). A person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the system disclosed by Hughes by incorporating this well known feature, such as disclosed by Chen, in order to allow for greater efficiency when transferring a document back to the original destination.

In considering claim 3, Hughes further discloses wherein the first software envelope contains destination and source address information (Fig. 2, "210" and "214") however it fails to

Application/Control Number: 09/605,544

Art Unit: 2153

claim 2.

disclose generating a second envelope having a destination address matching the source address of the first envelope. Nonetheless, this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Hughes, as evidenced by Chen. In an analogous art Chen discloses a system for exchanging messages over a network including generating a second envelope having a destination address matching the source address of the first envelope (column 3, lines 50-60). A person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the system disclosed by Hughes by incorporating this well known feature, such as disclosed by Chen for the reasons cited above with respect to

In considering claim 4, Hughes further discloses wherein the first software envelope contains state information (Fig. 2) however it fails to disclose *generating a second envelope* having a destination address determined by the state information. Nonetheless, this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Hughes, as evidenced by Chen. In an analogous art Chen discloses a system for exchanging messages over a network including generating a second software envelope having a destination address determined by the state information (column 3, lines 50-60). A person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the system disclosed by Hughes by incorporating this well known feature, such as disclosed by Chen, for the reasons cited above with respect to claim 2.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/605,544

Art Unit: 2153

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

Page 9

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Anita Choudhary whose telephone number is (703) 305-5268.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached on (703) 305-4792. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

AC

January 21, 2004

GLENTON B. BURGESS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100